EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
MAYA ROYTLENDER,	
Plaintiff, -against-	VERIFIED COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND
D. MALEK REALTY, LLC, MALEK MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, STAFFPRO, INC., DAVID MALEK, and MICHAEL MALEK,	Docket No.
Defendants.	

The Plaintiff, MAYA ROYTLENDER, as and for her complaint against the Defendants, D. MALEK REALTY, LLC, MALEK MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, STAFFPRO, INC., DAVID MALIK, and MICHAEL MALEK, alleges as follows:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is a civil action for damages brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. 201, et seq. ("FLSA") and pursuant to New York Labor Law under the doctrine of Supplemental Jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. section 1367. Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. section 1331, relating to "all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States," and 28 U.S.C. section 1337, relating to "any civil action or proceeding arising under any Act of Congress regulating commerce."
- 2. The unlawful employment practices alleged below have been committed within the Eastern District of New York, and venue in this Court is proper in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1391(b).

## NATURE OF ACTION

3. This is a civil action for recovery of unpaid wages, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, and costs, under the provisions of section 16(b) of the FLSA and under New York Labor Law, Article 6.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. The Plaintiff, MAYA ROYTLENDER, resides at 2740 Cropsey Avenue, Brooklyn, New York.
- 5. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, D. MALEK REALTY, LLC (hereinafter "Malek Realty"), is a New York Limited Liability Company whose Managing Member is Defendant, DAVID MALEK, and with a principal office for the conducting of business located at 170 E. Sunrise Highway, Valley Stream, County of Nassau, New York.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, MALEK MANAGEMENT CORPORATION (hereinafter "Malek Management"), is a New York corporation existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, with a principal office for the conducting of business located at 170 E. Sunrise Highway, Valley Stream, County of Nassau, New York.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, STAFFPRO, INC., is a New York corporation existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, with a principal office for the conducting of business located at 167 Lawrence Avenue, Inwood, New York.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, DAVID MALEK, resides at 7 Sunset Rd., Lawrence, New York, and is the President of Defendant, MALEK

MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, and is the holder of greater than ten (10%) percent shares of MALEK MANAGEMENT CORPORATION.

- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, MICHAEL MALEK, resides at 396 Marlborough Rd., Cedarhurst, New York, and is an officer of Defendant, MALEK MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, and is the holder of greater than ten (10%) percent shares of MALEK MANAGEMENT CORPORATION.
- 10. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants, Malek Realty, Malek Management, StaffPro, Corporation, and the individual Defendants acted as a "Joint Employer" and employed the Plaintiff as an "employee" as defined by the FLSA and applicable New York Labor Law.
- 11. The Defendants are each an "Employer" and are each "an enterprise engaged in commerce," as defined under the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act.
- 12. The Defendants are each an "Employer" as defined under provisions of Article 6 of the New York Labor Law.

#### FACTS GIVING RISE TO THE CAUSES OF ACTION

- 13. The Plaintiff commenced her employment as an Office Clerical employee with the Defendants on or about October 2012.
- 14. On or about October 22, 2020, the Defendants terminated the Plaintiff's employment.
- 15. At the time that the Defendants terminated the Plaintiff's employment, they owed duly earned wages to the Plaintiff in the sum of \$4, 875.00, and they failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to pay the Plaintiff the duly earned wages.

- Defendants, the Plaintiff was paid to work a schedule of seven days a week, from the hours of 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Mondays through Thursdays, from the hours of 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Fridays, for which she was paid a flat payment of \$975 a week, which is equivalent to a straight time hourly rate of \$27.86
- 17. In addition to the hours that the Plaintiff worked for the Defendants as indicated in paragraph "16," above, the Plaintiff worked an additional period of hours, including overtime hours, on weekends and during weekdays, for which no compensation was paid to her by the Defendants; for hours that the Plaintiff worked beyond forty (40) hours in each week, her overtime hourly rate of pay was \$41.79, although she was never paid for the overtime hours that she worked.
- 18. During the 2018 calendar year, the Plaintiff worked the following monthly overtime hours in excess of forty in each week, for which she was not compensated:

January	146.1	overtime hours
February	143.7	overtime hours
March	158.5	overtime hours
April	148.4	overtime hours
May	181.3	overtime hours
June	138.5	overtime hours
July	146.4	overtime hours
August	151.9	overtime hours
September	133	overtime hours
October	148.7	overtime hours

November 146 overtime hours

December 148.4 overtime hours

19. During the 2019 calendar year, the Plaintiff worked the following monthly overtime hours in excess of forty in each week, for which she was not compensated:

January 180.4 overtime hours

February 148.5 overtime hours

March 145.4 overtime hours

April 140.6 overtime hours

May 150.1 overtime hours

June 138.2 overtime hours

July 14434 overtime hours

August 133.3 overtime hours

September 148.1 overtime hours

October 166.3 overtime hours

November 152.1 overtime hours

December 146.6 overtime hours

20. During the 2020 calendar year, the Plaintiff worked the following monthly overtime hours in excess of forty in each week, for which she was not compensated:

January 134 overtime hours

February 146 overtime hours

March 156 overtime hours

April 155 overtime hours

May 143 overtime hours

June	137.9	overtime hours
July	148.8	overtime hours
	40.5	

August 125 overtime hours

September 151.1 overtime hours

81.9 overtime hours October

- In addition to the unpaid overtime referred to above, the Plaintiff worked 21. eight hours on each of the following holidays in 2018, 2019, and 2020, totaling ninety-six hours, for which she was never compensated: President's Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day, and Christmas Day.
- During the period described in paragraphs "18," "19," and "20," above, 22. the Plaintiff was earning an hourly wage rate of \$21.86, and actually worked 4,963.5 overtime hours during that period for which the Defendants failed to pay the Plaintiff at the overtime rate of time and one-half her hourly rate, \$41.79, which totals the sum of \$207,424.66.

# AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 22. The Defendants have failed to pay the Plaintiff her duly earned wages and overtime pay, as described above.
- By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants have breached the FLSA and 23... are liable to the Plaintiff for its failure to so pay the Plaintiff.
- 24. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for the unpaid wages, together with liquidated damages, attorney's fees, and costs in accordance with the FLSA.

## AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 25. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants have violated Article 6 of the New York Labor Law by its willful failure and refusal to pay to the Plaintiff her properly earned wages and benefits.
- 26. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for the unpaid wages, together with liquidated damages of double the unpaid wages, attorney's fees, and costs in accordance with Article 6 of the New York Labor Law.

# AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 27. The Defendants failed to provide written notice to the Plaintiff, at any time, as to: her rate of pay (including overtime rate of pay); how the Plaintiff is paid (by the hour, shift, day, week); regular payday; the official name of the Defendants and any other names used for business by the Defendants; and the address and phone number of the Defendants' main office or principal location.
- 28. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for civil damages in the sum of \$2,500.00, plus attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to New York Labor Law Sections 195 and 198(1-d).

## AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

29. The Defendants failed to furnish to the Plaintiff with each payment of wages a statement that complies with the statutory requirements under New York Labor Law section 195 (3), nor has the Plaintiff received any wage statements in compliance with New York Labor Law section 195 (3).

30. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for civil damages in the sum of \$2,500.00, plus attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to New York Labor Law Sections 195 and 198(1-d).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants for a sum to be determined at trial for unpaid wages and benefits to be determined at trial for unpaid wages and benefits and for unreimbursed expenses incurred on behalf of the Defendants, together with an additional sum representing liquidated damages in accordance with 29 U.S.C. 216 and New York Labor Law, and costs, interests and attorneys' fees, and such other relief as to the Court seems just.

TRIAL BY JURY IS DEMANDED

Dated: Smithtown, New York December 19, 2020

> Paul L. Dashefsky Attorney for Plaintiff 317 Middle Country Road Smithtown, New York 11787 (631) 361-7981